


## 2018 CRKN AGM: Vancouver, October 16-18, 2018


### Overall and session evaluation report, day one

(n=22 respondents/91 participants; response rate 24%)

Before today, how familiar were you with the topics of today's sessions?




How relevant were today's sessions to you/your work?




Please rate your satisfaction with the level of detail in today's sessions.

AGM day one - level of satisfaction with detail in each session




Was the level of interaction during today's sessions appropriate?


AGM day one - levels of interaction in each session



Please rate your overall level of satisfaction with today's sessions.



## Demographic Question



## Themes based on respondent comments

### Keynote

- Including scholars from outside of the library field in the AGM was appreciated
- The panel's expertise was noticed
- Highly relevant to the library field but included different perspective
- Some noted that the talk didn't tie in as well with the other sessions as it could have
- Some noted that the talk should have been allotted more time in the agenda

### Canadiana Update

- Information provided clarity and a better understanding of Canadiana
- There was some information on metadata and subject issues related to the Truth and Reconciliation Commission that could have been added or improved upon
- There is still some uncertainty about the roles of Canadiana vs. NHDS

### Licensing

- Information was well presented and useful

### Outcomes of the Trusted Digital Repository Task Group

- Good background for decision making
- Clear and succinct, though some felt there were some points that could be expanded upon more
- Relevant to the work of members

## **Approaches to Open Access**

- Session was interesting
- Interactive part of the session allowed for good discussion and interesting perspectives, some felt that energy during this part of the session was low
- Good to have clear and useful information on OA efforts
- Some concern with the financial commitments needed for OA to successful
- There was a good level of interest in Colleen Campbell's knowledge and perspective
- More time to discuss the issues around OA was noted as an element that would have improved this session

## **General feedback**


- The food options, especially the healthy snacks, were appreciated
- The keynote was a highlight for many
- The program was very full and could have used a little bit of downtime
- The fact that the sessions were bilingual and offered translation was a highlight

## 2018 CRKN AGM: Vancouver, October 16-18, 2018


### Overall and session evaluation report, day two

(n=24 respondents/91 participants; response rate 26%)


Before today, how familiar were you with the topics of today's session (Strategic Planning)




How relevant were today's sessions to your work?



Please rate your satisfaction with the level of detail that was provided in today's sessions.




Was the level of interaction during today's sessions appropriate?






Please rate your overall level of satisfaction with today's session.



## Demographic Question:



## **Themes based on respondent comments**

### **Strategic Planning**

- Enriching and interesting process
- Facilitator was well liked, his bilingualism was appreciated and he was considered interesting, energetic, thoughtful and engaging
- Some questions about how the information gathered would be put to use
- Picture drawing activity was enjoyed by most
- Some sense that deeper consultation is still necessary

### **CRKN Meeting of the Members**


- Most felt the meeting was well run, smooth and timely

## 2018 CRKN AGM: Vancouver, October 16-18, 2018


### Overall and session evaluation report, overall

(n=13 respondents/91 participants; response rate 14%)

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the treatment of administrative details leading up to the event.




Please rate your overall satisfaction with the location of the event.



Please rate your overall satisfaction with the simultaneous translation.


## Satisfaction with Simultaneous Translation




■ Very satisfied ■ Satisfied ■ Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied ■ Not very satisfied ■ Not at all satisfied ■ Did not use

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the Simon Fraser University Library tour.


Satisfaction with Simon Fraser University Library Tour



Please rate your overall satisfaction with the University of British Columbia Library tour.




Please rate your overall satisfaction with the balance between programming and networking.






Please rate your overall satisfaction with the event.



## Demographic Question:



## Themes based on respondent comments

### General Comments:

- Healthy and diverse snacks and meals were appreciated
- Translation had some issues and lagged, some sets did not work at all
- Collaborative/interactive sessions were the most interesting

### Do you have any programming suggestions for future AGMs that would be engaging for members?

- Collections assessment workshop

### Additional comments/questions:

- More clarity on the roles of the NHDS versus Canadiana is suggested for future meetings
- Documents and slide decks should be made available at least a week before the AGM
- More rooms reserved in the CRKN block would have been useful, and to have them available at the special rate for a full week would be appreciated