An Astoundingly **Brief Primer** on Persistent Identifier Context, Orgs, Libraries, and Open Infrastructure

Mike Nason

Scholarly Communications and Publishing Librarian, UNB Libraries | Crossref and Metadata Liaison, PKP

An Astoundingly **Rrief Primer** Persistent Identifier Context, Orgs, Libraries, and Open Infrastructure

Mike Nason

Scholarly Communications and Publishing Librarian, UNB Libraries | Crossref and Metadata Liaison, PKP **Taking Our Time** With Persistent Identifier Context, Orgs, Libraries, and Open Infrastructure

Mike Nason

Scholarly Communications and Publishing Librarian, UNB Libraries | Crossref and Metadata Liaison, PKP Before we dig in, I need to make one thing super clear... PIDs are in the drinking water of scholarly publishing.

Let's review some things we know.

PIDs are unique IDs that we assign to an increasing number of things:

- Institutions
- Datasets
- People
- Organizations
- Articles
- Monographs
- Serials

PIDs are unique IDs that we assign to a growing number of things:

- Institutions
- Datasets
- People
- Organizations
- Articles
- Monographs
- Serials

It might occur to you that libraries are *increasingly* tied into all these things.

- Digital Publishing
- Scholar Profiles
- Research Data
- CRIS Systems
- Repositories
- Bibliometrics/Collections
- Open Scholarship

PIDs should/can make locating and tracking materials/research easier. PIDs are great for disambiguation and consistent metadata, because:

- Names aren't unique.
- Names don't follow rules.
- URLs change.
- Places, people, institutions... etc. are identified in myriad ways.

Judicious application of PIDs (and ubiquitous uptake) could save a lot of time . PIDs are tied to registration agencies who collect & distribute metadata publicly.

Let's review some lesser -known things.

"Persistence is purely a matter of service ."

Persistent *≠*Permanent

- J. Kunze, 2013

Minting a DOI is different than registering a DOI.

"You see, you know how to [*mint*] the [DOI], you just don't know how to [*register*] the [DOI]. And that's really the most important part of the [DOI]: the [*registration*]. Anybody can just [mint] them."

- Jerry Seinfeld, 1991

PIDs aren't meant to be human -readable, custom URLs.

(DOIs ≠fancy bit.ly)

PIDs aren't meant to be human -readable, custom URLs. 10.1234/ 097813rhujrho7 10.1234/ journal.24.1.0001

These do the same thing! No one reads suffixes!

Imagine having to care about a typo in a DOI and the amount of work it takes to fix one.

PIDs as only as useful as their registered metadata

Garbage in. Garbage Out .

Registration agencies use different and variably compatible metadata schema.

. . .

PIDs don't have to be assigned to literally everything, nor should they be.

We need to *relax*.

But ! PIDs can be assigned to many things that aren't journal articles and datasets! There are many registration organizations and types of PIDs. ROR GRID ISNI

Institutions

ORCID (ISNI) ScopusID WoS ResearcherID

Researchers

Articles Proceedings **Monographs** *Datasets **Funding Agencies** Grants Reports **Standards Preprints**

Crossref / DOI

Articles Proceedings **Monographs** *Datasets **Funding Agencies** Grants Reports **Standards Preprints**

Crossref / DOI

Datacite / DOI

Software **Datasets** Collections Audio/Visual **Events** Models

Datacite / DOI

Software Datasets Collections Audio/Visual **Events** Models



PIDs

All of these platforms either pull data from, or push data to, an open pipeline of metadata. (aka the water supply)

The "API"

Application Programming Interface



Publication Repository



Most of these organizations are not -for -profit (obviously not Scopus or WoS).

S'allright? Let's discuss some ways the water flows! Let's try a very basic example.

Let's pretend...

I am setting up my ORCID account.
I want to add my publications!

Within ORCID, I can check against the Crossref and **Datacite APIs for** any publications matching my name

Most publications assign DOIs.

It will take me a while to do this the first time, and it'll only work if my articles have DOIs.

For all my publications I know are mine, that have DOIs. the metadata is automatically pulled into my **ORCID** account.



Now that I have an ORCID, that metadata (ideally) is included when I publish, which means systems will know who I



author metadata (unformatted) publisher metadata (jats or similar) Crossref (crossref xml schema) OrCid (orcid schema... dublin core -ish, "bibtesque")

Each schema is a little different!

Mike, I know how ORCID works.

I've applied for funding from an agency that has an ORCID account or integration.

Fine, let's pretend...

That agency can push new data to my ORCID account.

Funding ID Grant ID Datasets Articles

The next time I apply for funding, I just push my **ORCID** to the agency and they can pull my works without me filling out the same form again.

And ideally...

If someone from the tri -agency is looking at this, please know it's all I need you to retain. It's the one thing.

The next time I apply for funding, l just push my ORCID to the agency and they can pull my works without me filling out the same form again.

Let's try a *more* complicated example.

This time, we'll crank up the "libraries" knob

My institution is using Unsub to get a grasp on where my faculty publishes and how this matches our collections

Let's pretend...

Unsub is created and maintained by only *two people*.

The software takes affiliation information from the Microsoft **Academic Graph** which scrapes publications and uses NLP pattern matching. Open infrastructure does the heavy lifting...

It then takes that affiliation data and checks against the **Crossref API for ISSN** and publications, your provided collection, **GRID** or ROR institutional IDs

Unsub then uses that data...

... to tell you where your scholars are publishing, if it's OA (checks against **DOAJ** and scrapes for policies) and if journals you subscribe to are being published in.

Without the Crossref API, this whole process disappears. Publications that aren't using DOIs are, essentially, "off the grid." Publications that aren't using DOIs are, essentially, "off the grid."

This results in a lot of folks entering the same metadata into systems, by hand. Or hiring graduate students to do this for them. That's an excellent use of everyone's time, definitely. Persistent identifiers allow us to see the big picture through all of these connections and interactions.

When we talk about support for PIDs we're talking about supporting open infrastructure and free exchange of metadata .

But what about all these other objects?

Right! Yes. There's three (3) general rules.

Almost every major location a researcher puts their work these days will incorporate PIDs more or less automatically. Odds are, you'll never really have to worry too much about institutional PIDs or attaching DOIs to pre-prints.

You probably already have a ROR ID, and Arxiv handles DOIs automatically. 1.33

Most of the time, in the library space, PIDs will be happening to/for you .

1.66

If you're hosting content that doesn't live anywhere else, or that content is *primarily hosted* on a service you maintain, it is appropriate for you to mint (and register) a DOI for it!

You know this stuff! It's very common in repos.

Grey Lit. Reports *Working Papers Theses Projects Slide Decks You shouldn't mint DOIs for things that already have them elsewhere.

You are actively making things worse.

Stop it.

2.5

Who you register your PID with *does, in fact, matter*.

З.

Let's take a look at Crossref/Datacite again.

3.25

Crossref / DOI

Articles Proceedings Monographs Datasets* **Funding Agencies** Grants Reports **Standards** Preprints

Datacite / DOI

Software Datasets Collections Audio/Visual Events Models Because their schema are specifically designed to represent certain types of content, the fidelity of the metadata may suffer in translation from system to -system.

Crossref and Datacite are *friends* for this reason.

3.75

Garbage in. Garbage out.

3.81



"I really want to be proactive about judicious use of PIDs!"

- You, incredibly, just now.
Advocate for ORCID without being so pushy as to remind people that it's a little like being barcoded.

Emphasize researcher agency and privacy.

Promote metadata literacy where you can by helping researchers understand why good metadata will save them time later.

Great, do these:

Ally first with a research office under the sales pitch of metrics that may be abused in scholarly assessment .

Ignore the concerns of faculty unions who (justifiably) aren't thrilled about being boiled down into digestible numbers.

Please, don't do these:

Aren't fine line s fun?

I'm sorry about how very, very fast that was.

I was Mike Nason,

UNB Libraries and the Public Knowledge Project.

Now, over to Mark.

Bringing Object PIDs Together

- 1. PIDs by themselves are useful, but...
- PIDs become most useful in the context of a rich PID ecosystem, or the PID Graph
- 3. Creating links between the full range of research outputs is where the real value lies.



https://www.narcis.nl/personcowo/RecordID/PRS1291410/uquery/bekkering/id/6/Language/EN.





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yWOqeyPIVRo



Publication Repository

Challenge 1: Agreement on PIDs

- 1. Agreeing on the **PID To Rule Them All** is not feasible...
- 2. But we can agree on best practice PIDs for specific objects
- 3. Which facilitates adoption and development of software
- 4. Ultimately creating a rich PID ecosystem that makes it easy to find any asset in the research ecosystem

The "Conductor" PID

- 1. Research Activity ID (RaID) is a unique type of PID, that acts as an aggregator of PIDs of all types, associated with a specific research project or defined activity
- Having one PID that can be accessed in the same way, via a single API, provides an efficient and useful representation of the PID Graph
- 3. Examples in specific disciplines, e.g. BioProject, but RaID has the potential to be the DOI for all research projects

Display Setting	gs: 🕶		Send to			Send to: -	Related information		
	~								
Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2				Accession: PRJNA686984 ID: 686984			BioProject		
SARS-CoV-2 Genome sequencing and assembly							Bio	Sample	
NGS of PCR-tiled SARS-CoV-2					See Genome		Genomic RNA		
						Severe acute		Nucleotide	
Accession	PRJNA686984 Genome sequencing				syndrome-related		Protein		
Data Type					coron	coronavirus		SRA	
Scope	Multiisolate							onomy	
Organism Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 [Taxonomy ID: 2697049]					This project is a		Umbrella projects		
	Viruses; Riboviria; Orthornavirae; Pisuviricota; Pisoniviricetes; Nidovirales; Cornidovirineae; Coronaviridae; Orthocoronavirinae; Betacoronavirus; Sarbecovirus; Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus; Severe acute presistory syndrome acrossing 2				compone COVID-19 This pro	ent of the 9 Outbreak oject is a	_		
	acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2						Re	cent activity	
Grants	"CK19-1904 Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for la NU50CK000552-02-01, Center for Disease Control and I		<pre>/ for Infectious Diseases (ELC)" and Prevention)</pre>	r Infectious Diseases (ELC)" (Grant ID 6 id Prevention)		ent of the RS-CoV-2 quencing		Severe acute respiratory syndrome	
Submission	Registration date: 21-Dec-2020 Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment					Data NAVIGATE ACROSS 29 additional projects are	٩	615625[top bioproject] NOT 718231[uid] (29) BioProject	
Project Data:					29 add		₽	Lichtheimia corymbifera strain:B63a BioProject	
Resource Name			Number of Links		components o COVID-19 Outbreak	nts of the D-19 reak.	₽	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1, c Nucleotide	
SEQUENCE D	ATA				050 ad	liti a mal	Q	covid-19 (71327)	
Nucleotide (Genomic RNA)			1962		projects are		-	Nucleotide	
SRA Experiments			1971	compon		ents of the		See more	
Protein Sequences 2			23526		Viral Sequencing				
BioSample	SETS		1071		Da	ta.			
вюзатріє	5		1971		134 ad	ditional			
✓ SRA Data Details				projects are related					
Paramete	er	Value			by org	unioni.			
Data volume, Gbases 138		138							
Data volu	ume, Mbytes	72794							

Research Activity Identifier (RaID)

- 1. Comes from work in Australia to create a "research management record"
- 2. Aggregates PIDs for all resources associated with a specific project
- 3. Undergoing ISO review/approval (completed this year)
- 4. RaIDs will be minted by regional partners and is a free service

RaID Video

Other Objects PIDs: Software

- 1. SW PIDs are complicated!
- 2. Intrinsic
 - a. PIDs generated by the SW development environment, such as VCS (Git ID)
 - b. Basis of SWHID
- 3. Extrinsic
 - a. PIDs external to the SW context
 - b. DOIs created by repositories like Zenodo

http://doi.org/10.15497/RDA00053



Other Objects PIDs: Equipment

- "to interpret a digital dataset, much must be known about the hardware used to generate the data, whether sensor networks or laboratory machines"
- RDA PIDINST Working Group created a 43 -element schema, such as ID, Owners, Manufacturer, Measured Variables, etc.
- 3. 2 examples
 - a. DataCite DOIs
 - b. ePIC Framework
- 4. Others, such as RRID use for equipment/facilities

Other Object PIDs: Resources

- 1. RRIDs: Research Resource IDs
 - a. cell lines, antibodies, plasmids, model organisms, facilities and equipment
- 2. Additional rigour/detail in describing associated reference resources, typically in *Materials*
- 3. Increasingly used by journal publishers



Many Others in Domain Contexts

MycoBank ID

InChi

DIN

EC Number



Future State?

- 1. Short-term goal would be to have wide adoption of core Best Practice PIDs, including RaID
- 2. W3Cs Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs)
 - a. "a new type of identifier that enables verifiable, decentralized digital identity. A DID identifies any subject (e.g., a person, organization, thing, data model, abstract entity, etc.) that the controller of the DID decides that it identifies."
- 3. Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs)
 - a. Role in Scholarly Communications and persistent identity? (see <u>Scholarly Kitchen</u>)